

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/549,933	09/11/2006	Naoharu Nakaiso	2342-0143PUS1	7899	
2992 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			KACKAR, RAM N		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1792		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/18/2008	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/549 933 NAKAISO, NAOHARU Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Ram N. Kackar 1792 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/30/2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 8-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 8-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/07/07

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/549,933 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In this instance claims 2-4 depend upon claim which defines the nozzle cross section in a certain way but these claims further limit in away not consistent with the parent claim. For example circular or squashy circular shape.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1, 3, 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Okuda et al (US 20030024477).

Okuda et al disclose all the limitations of these claims and show cross section of nozzle which is narrower along radial direction and wider in the perpendicular direction (Figs. 1-3).

Types of gases used in apparatus claims are intended use claims and have no patentable weight.

 Claims 1, 3, 8-11, 13-15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kontani et al (US 20040025786).

Kontani et al disclose all the limitations of these claims and show cross section of nozzle which is narrower along radial direction and wider in the perpendicular direction (Figs. 2A and 2B 17).

Types of gases used in apparatus claims are intended use claims and have no patentable weight.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
  obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 2, 4 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okuda et al (US 20030024477) in view of Noda et al (JP 2003-045811).

Regarding claims 16-20 Okuda et al do not disclose additional first nozzle which is not opposite to heater. Noda et al disclose a similar processing apparatus and disclose a first nozzle not opposite to heater and a second nozzle which comprises a plurality of nozzles having different lengths opposite to the heater (Fig 1).

Page 4

It is obvious that this set of plural nozzles is equivalent to one with many holes since it serves to distribute reaction gas uniformly. Further the first nozzle and other nozzles are disposed at different positions in response to process requirements and therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to dispose them at different positions.

 Claims 2, 4 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okuda et al (US 20030024477) in view of Saito et al (US 6383300).

Regarding claims 16-20 Okuda et al do not disclose additional first nozzle which is not opposite to heater.

Saito et al disclose a similar processing apparatus and disclose a first nozzle not opposite to heater and a second nozzle which comprises a plurality of nozzles having different lengths opposite to the heater (Fig 1).

It is obvious that this set of plural nozzles is equivalent to one with many holes since it serves to distribute reaction gas uniformly. Further the first nozzle and other nozzles are disposed at different positions in response to process requirements and therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to dispose them at different positions.

 Claims 2, 4 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okuda et al (US 20030024477) in view of Yoshino Akihito (JP 2000-068214). Regarding claims 16-20 Okuda et al do not disclose additional first nozzle which is not opposite to heater.

Yoshino Akihito discloses a similar processing apparatus and discloses a first nozzle not opposite to heater and a second nozzle which comprises a plurality of nozzles having different lengths opposite to the heater (Fig 1).

It is obvious that this set of plural nozzles is equivalent to one with many holes since it serves to distribute reaction gas uniformly. Further the first nozzle and other nozzles are disposed at different positions in response to process requirements and therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to dispose them at different positions.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/30/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive and moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 1792

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ram N. Kackar whose telephone number is 571 272 1436. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 A.M to 5:P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on 571 272 1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ram N Kackar/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792